

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN RE ZOOM VIDEO
8 COMMUNICATIONS, INC. PRIVACY
9 LITIGATION

10
11
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 Case No. 20-cv-02155-LHK (SVK)

15 **ORDER REGARDING PROTECTIVE
16 ORDER AND FRE 502 DISCOVERY
17 DISPUTES**

18 Re: Dkt. Nos. 135, 137

19 Having considered the Joint Statement Regarding Discovery Disputes and related exhibits
20 (Dkts. 135, 137) and the relevant law, the Court finds that oral argument is not necessary.
21 Regarding the Protective Order, the Court largely adopts the provisions of this District's Model
22 Protective Order, as it is inherently reasonable and has been found to serve the needs of litigants in
23 this District, even class action plaintiffs, very well. To the extent any dispute presented to the
24 Court arises out of a departure from the Model Protective Order, in the circumstances of this case
25 the Court is not persuaded. The Court has made its own edits as it deems appropriate and to
26 comport with its Civil Scheduling & Discovery Standing Order, which are shown in redline in the
27 attached exhibit at Sections 6.3 and 7.4. Regarding the Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) Order,
28 the Court adopts Defendant's language or deletions in paragraphs 4, 6, and 11 (which is now
paragraph 10), as they most effectively meet the objectives of Federal Rule of Evidence 502.

29 ////

30 ////

31 ////

32 ////

33 ////

1 In keeping with common practice in this District and this Court's Standing Order, the Court adopts
2 Plaintiff's proposal in paragraph 14 (which is now paragraph 13).

3 **SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: December 16, 2020

5 
6

7 SUSAN VAN KEULEN
United States Magistrate Judge